

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Patent and Trademark Office COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Water Lumpto gov

Bon a sale		Awar mileo Box		
nan n		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		S004-4392	6389
09/966,721	09/27/2001	09/27/2001 Takashi Hosaka		
	590 08/23/2002		EXAM	IINER
ADAMS & WILKS			ZARNEKE, DAVID A	
31st FLOOR			ZAKNEKE	
50 BROADWA NEW YORK,	AY NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HEW TOXAL			2827	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/966,721	HOSAKA, TAKASHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David A. Zarneke	2827	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{1}$ MONTH(S) FROM

- THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled.
- after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum saturatory acreat will apply and will expire SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum saturatory acreating the specified acreating the spec

- arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

ì		ear	r
-	-4-		

patent term adjustment. See 37 CTX 170-167
Responsive to communication(s) filed on
This action is 1 mode.
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matrices, some condition for allowance except for formal matrices, some condition is in condition for allowance except for formal matrices, some condition is in condition for allowance except for formal matrices, some condition is in condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for allowance except for formal matrices, so that the condition for all the conditions for all th
on of Claims
Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s) is/are allowed.
Claim(s) is/are rejected.
is/are objected to.
Claim(s) is also september of the control of
tion Papers
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
The drawing(s) filed on
- used drawing correction filed on is. a) upprove
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this other
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
1400
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 3.574.5
Some * c) None of:
the might documents have been received.
3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been seen as
application from the massis of the partition copies not received.
 See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified opportunities (a) (b) (a) a provisional application). Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim to down and application has been received. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. by ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. contains for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application in a basic part of the foreign language provisional application in the foreign language provision in the foreign language provision
Acknowledgment is made of a older to
ment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 1, drawn to a product, classified in class 257, subclass 1+.
- II. Claims 2-4, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 55.
 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, the light shielding material could be laminated onto the module or deposited over the entire surface and then etched to form the opening, as opposed to selectively depositing the light shielding material.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Bruce Adams on August 15, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Zarneke whose telephone number is (703)-305-3926. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703)-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-308-7722 for regular communications and (703)-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

David A Zarneke

August 15, 2002